

1 **Important Information for Tentative Rulings and Hearings:**

- 2
- 3 1. Please review and follow the Tentative Ruling Instructions which can be found on the Court’s website
- 4 using the following link: <https://sf.courts.ca.gov/divisions/unified-family-court/ufc-tentative-rulings>.
- 5 2. If you wish to make an objection to the Tentative Ruling in your case, you must notify the other party
- 6 (unless there is a restraining order in place) and the Court Clerk in the Department where the hearing
- 7 is scheduled of your objection by 4:00 PM the Court day prior to the hearing date. Court days do not
- 8 include Court holidays, Saturdays, or Sundays. The Court’s Holiday Schedule can be found on the
- 9 Court’s website using the following link: [https://sf.courts.ca.gov/general-information/holiday-](https://sf.courts.ca.gov/general-information/holiday-schedules)
- 10 [schedules](https://sf.courts.ca.gov/general-information/holiday-schedules).
- 11 3. To contact the Court Clerk in Dept. 403 to make an objection to the Tentative Ruling in your
- 12 case, please call (415) 551–3741 or send an email to [Department403@sftc.org](mailto:Department403@sftc.org).
- 13 4. To contact the Court Clerk in Dept. 404 to make an objection to the Tentative Ruling in your
- 14 case, please call (415) 551–3744 or send an email to [Department404@sftc.org](mailto:Department404@sftc.org).
- 15 5. When you contact the Court Clerk to make an objection to the Tentative Ruling in your case, please
- 16 specify the paragraph(s) and / or line number(s) of the Tentative Ruling which contains the language
- 17 to which you object.
- 18 6. You may appear at your hearing either (a) in-person; (b) by video; or (c) by phone. Pursuant to SFLR
- 19 11.7(D)(4), if you choose to appear by video or phone, you must be continuously connected to Zoom
- 20 from 8:50 a.m. until 12:00 p.m. or until your hearing is concluded. If you fail to appear in-person, by
- 21 video, or phone, the Court may proceed with the hearing in your absence. The Court is not required to
- 22 contact you before your hearing.
- 23 7. If you choose to appear by video or by phone, you must comply with the Notice and Instructions for
- 24 Remote Appearances in San Francisco Family Court set forth below.
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29



1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, )  
7 Petitioner ) Case Number: FCS-18-353248  
8 VS. ) Hearing Date: April 16, 2024  
9 JULIO ALBERTO LOPEZ, ) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM  
10 Respondent ) Department: 403  
11 ) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
12 )

12 CHILD CUSTODY, VISITATION (PARENTING TIME)

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the  
15 Court makes the following findings and orders:

16 1. On November 28, 2023 Father filed a request for change in child custody stating that the children  
17 were getting hit by family members and CPS is getting involved. Father did not make any actual requests  
18 for any change.

19 2. On January 10, 2024 Father filed a declaration stating that Mother prevents him from seeing the  
20 children and denies him the right to access the children’s school related information.

21 3. On January 26, 2024 Other Parent Mother filed her request for order for child custody and  
22 visitation (parenting time) for the minor children, Yelitza (DOB: 11.17.17) and Valentino (DOB:  
23 10.17.19). Mother requests sole legal and sole physical custody of the minor children. She requests Father  
24 to have professionally monitored supervised visitation.

25 4. Mother also requests the right to obtain a passport for the minor children without Father’s  
26 signature or permission. Mother describes physical abuse by Father’s partner and friends. Mother states  
27 that since birth Father has spent only “a few hours a year” with them. She is concerned about domestic  
28 violence in Father’s home with his partner.  
29

1 5. On March 6, 2024 Mother filed her responsive declaration to the RFO filed by Father on  
2 November 28, 2023. The statements set forth therein mirror her statements in support of her RFO filed on  
3 January 26, 2024.

4 6. On March 29, 2024 Father filed an additional declaration attaching photos and the letter of his  
5 partner.

6 7. On April 2, 2024 Father filed a third declaration. He states that Mother has not provided  
7 information regarding Valentino's schooling. He also attaches medical insurance cards and a handwritten  
8 letter from his mother supporting his claim of involvement with the children beyond what Mother asserts  
9 in her moving papers. He also attaches a letter from Adriana Martinez, a friend regarding the allegations  
10 of abuse.

11 8. The Court intends to request Family Court Services to inquire as to the status of any CPS  
12 investigations based upon the assorted allegations of violence by both parties.

13 9. This matter is continued to May 2, 2024 at 9:00 a.m.

14 10. The Court will prepare the order.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 JOSE WILDREDO GOMEZ HERNANEZ,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 BRENDA MICHELE RIOS LOPEZ,

10 Respondent

)  
) Case Number: FMS-20-387342

)  
) Hearing Date: April 16, 2024

)  
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)  
) Department: 403

)  
) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
)  
)  
)

11  
12 OTHER REVIEW HEARING

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the  
15 Court makes the following findings and orders:

- 16  
17
- 18 1. This matter is on for review of the status of potential reconnection therapy. Based upon  
19 the Findings and Orders after Hearing filed on January 26, 2024, Petitioner's therapist  
20 and minor's therapist were to meet to find an appropriate time for Petitioner to meet with  
21 the minor, Kaylee (DOB: 2.4.10). Respondent Mother has sole legal and sole physical  
22 custody. See FOAH filed on March 29, 2021. The minor daughter has stated she is not  
23 interested in reconnection with her father.
  - 24 2. Neither party has filed an update declaration.
  - 25 3. The parties participated in mediation.
  - 26 4. The Court orders parenting time to be therapeutic sessions by Rally Family Services with  
27 visitation one hour per week and Father to bear cost of visitation. The Court will forward  
28 an order to Rally Family Services. The parties are to fill out the intake forms with Rally  
29 no later than 10 days from this hearing.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

- 5. This matter will be continued to August 6, 2024 re status of visitation. Both parties shall file and serve update declarations ten (10) days in advance of the review hearing.
- 6. All prior orders currently in effect shall remain in full force and effect.
- 7. The Court will prepare the order.

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 KATHERINE GWEN WOO ARROYO, )  
7 Petitioner ) Case Number: FDI-13-779370  
8 VS. ) Hearing Date: April 16, 2024  
9 BRIAN REVILLA ARROYO, )  
10 Respondent ) Department: 403  
11 ) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
12 )

12 OTHER REVIEW HEARING

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the  
15 Court makes the following findings and orders:

- 16  
17
- 18 1. On March 29, 2024 Father filed his declaration regarding the status of visitation. Father requests a  
19 vacation from August 5 through August 9, 2024 to visit Carson California with the minor children,  
20 Brandon (DOB: 5.8.10) and Lucas (2.15.13) to visit with paternal relatives. He also requests weekend  
21 visits to include Friday overnights, pickup at 4:45 p.m.  
22
  - 23 2. Mother has sole legal and sole physical custody of the minor children. See the Findings and  
24 Orders After Hearing filed on March 22, 2016.  
25
  - 26 3. On April 8, 2024 Petitioner Mother filed a supplemental declaration. She states the children do  
27 not wish to have overnight visits and do not wish to go to Carson in August. She requests overnight visits  
28 be terminated and Father's request to take the children to Carson be denied.  
29

1 4. On April 10, 2024 Father filed an additional declaration responsive to Mother's declaration.

2  
3 5. Father's request for a trip to Carson in August is granted. It is a four day trip during the summer.  
4 Father's request for his weekend visitation starting on Friday at 4:45 p.m. overnight is also granted.

5  
6 6. Neither parent is to schedule any activity for the minor children that will fall upon the other  
7 parties' visitation time.

8  
9 7. Neither parent is to allow other persons to disparage the other parent in front of the minor  
10 children.

11  
12 8. The parents are both ordered to participate in co-parenting therapy and family therapy.

13  
14 9. Neither is to question the children regarding their relationship with the other parent but is to  
15 encourage the relationship with the other parent.

16  
17 10. All prior orders not inconsistent with this order remain in full force and effect.

18  
19 11. The Court will prepare the order.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29



1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 RASEEN KING,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 TRAVON KING,

10 Respondent

)  
) Case Number: FDI-17-788748

)  
) Hearing Date: April 16, 2024

)  
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)  
) Department: 403

)  
) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
)  
)  
)

11  
12 REQUEST FOR ORDER CHANGE OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE ORDER

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 On 1/23/2024, Petitioner's Request for Order to dismiss the 7/14/2021 restraining order against Travon  
15 King, was continued for Petitioner to effectuate service. Petitioner failed to file a proof of service. The  
16 matter is ordered off calendar.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 DEIRDRE ELIZABETH KENNEDY,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 MARCO ANTONIO-FABIAN MARQUEZ,

10 Respondent

)  
) Case Number: FDI-19-792228

)  
) Hearing Date: April 16, 2024

)  
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)  
) Department: 403

)  
) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
)  
)

11  
12 REQUEST FOR ORDER OF TEMPORARY EMERGENCY ORDER, CHILD CUSTODY,  
13 VISITATION (PARENTING TIME)

14 **TENTATIVE RULING**

15 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the  
16 Court makes the following findings and orders:

17 1. On January 31, 2024 Petitioner Mother filed her request for temporary emergency orders for child  
18 custody and visitation (parenting time) and an order shortening time concerning the minor child,  
19 Leonardo (DOB: 9.12.17).

20 2. Mother requests sole legal and sole physical custody and pending further order of the court, she  
21 requests Respondent Father to have professionally supervised visitation every Wednesday after school,  
22 from 2:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. at Rally Family Services. She requests Father to bear the cost of Rally  
23 services.

24 3. The parties currently have temporary joint legal and Mother has temporary sole physical custody.  
25 Respondent has visitation every Wednesday from 2 p.m. to 6 p.m. and every Saturday from 9:00 a.m. to 6  
26 p.m.

27 4. Mother states that CPS recommended Father have only supervised visitation after incidents were  
28 reported by both Mother and the child's pediatrician. Father elected not to exercise his timeshare in  
29 response. Mother states Father has not exercised his timeshare with Leonardo since May 2023.

1 5. On January 31, 2024 the Court issued temporary emergency orders requiring supervised visitation  
2 through Rally one hour weekly with the cost to be split 50/50. This matter was set for hearing on  
3 shortened time on February 26, 2024.

4 6. On March 28, 2024 Father apparently prepared a responsive declaration. However, no responsive  
5 declaration has been received by the Court and is not in the docket.

6 7. On April 9, 2024 Mother filed a reply to Father's responsive declaration. Mother requests sole  
7 legal custody, supervised visitation, and therapy for Leonardo. She also requests Father to undergo  
8 therapy.

9 8. The Court requests Family Court Services to undertake an investigation of the CPS referral.

10 9. This matter is continued to 6/18/2024 to allow Father to file his responsive declaration.

11 10. Counsel for Mother will prepare the order.

12 11. **Preparation of Order:** If you are directed by the court to prepare the order after hearing – within  
13 10 calendar days of the hearing you must either: (a) Serve the proposed order to the other party/counsel  
14 for approval, and follow the procedures set forth in CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(c), or (b) If the other  
15 party did not appear or the matter was uncontested, submit the proposed order after hearing directly to the  
16 court. Failure to submit the order after hearing within 10 days may allow the other party to prepare a  
17 proposed order and submit it to the court in accordance with CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(d).

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 MEGHAN KEOUGH,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 DAVID HAWLEY,

10 Respondent

)  
) Case Number: FDI-23-797654

)  
) Hearing Date: April 16, 2024

)  
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)  
) Department: 403

)  
) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
)  
)

11  
12 OTHER REVIEW HEARING

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the  
15 Court makes the following findings and orders:

16 **Request for Reconsideration**

17 **A. Procedural History**

- 18  
19 1. On for hearing is Father’s 2/8/2024 Request for Order for reconsideration under CCP  
20 1008, of the 1/25/2024 FOAH where the Court found the “parties agree to sell the car and  
21 split the proceeds equally between them.” Father states that the 2020 Audi Q7 has a pay off  
22 amount of \$32,623.17 and the value of the car does not exceed the payoff amount, he cannot  
23 afford to pay the balance off and obtain the title for resale, Audi only offered him \$30,000 for  
24 the car and other issues with selling the car. Father offered to assume responsibility for  
25 interim payments on the car until the parties can reach resolution of the division of the  
26 marital estate or reach some alternative arrangement, but Mother did not respond to his offer.  
27  
28 2. On 4/5/2024, Mother filed a statement of support calculations stating Father has  
29 exclusive use and possession of the car and she has been making the payments since May  
2023.

1 **B. Findings and Orders**

2 1. Father's request for reconsideration is granted. Father shall maintain exclusive use  
3 and possession of the car and effective immediately, he is solely responsible for paying  
4 the payment on the 2020 Audi Q7.

5  
6 2. Counsel for Father shall prepare the order.

7  
8 **Review Hearing on Retroactive Support from 5/9/2023-12/31/2023**

9  
10 **A. Procedural History**

11 1. On for hearing is the matter continued from 1/25/2024, where the Court held, in part, that  
12 because the parties do not agree on their income or timeshare for 2023, the issue of guideline  
13 child and temporary spousal support arrears for the period 5/9/23 (when Father filed his  
14 Request for Order) through 12/31/23 is continued, the parties were at least 20 days before the  
15 next hearing date, to meet and confer on this issue, and if the parties were unable to reach a  
16 full agreement on this issue, the Parties were ordered, 10 days before the next hearing date, to  
17 each serve and file a Statement of Support Calculations and Dissomaster capturing all  
18 income earned by both parties during the period 5/9/2023 – 12/31/2023, as well as an average  
19 monthly timeshare.

20 2. On 4/5/2024, Mother submitted her Statement of Support Calculations stating she owes  
21 Father \$24,232 in temporary spousal and child support arrears and requests the Court deduct  
22 \$14,837.13 from that amount for the car payments she paid for Father.

23  
24 3. On 4/5/2024 Father filed his Statement of Support Calculations stating Mother owes him  
25 \$40,536 in temporary spousal and child support arrears and he requests numerous other  
26 reimbursements. On 4/5/2024, Father also filed a Declaration with several requests for  
27 reimbursement and requesting the Court deny Mother's request for reimbursement of the  
28 Audi payments.

29 **B. Findings and Orders**

1 1. The Court adopts the Mother's Dissomasters A, B, and C and orders Mother to pay  
2 Father \$24,232 in temporary spousal and child support arrears for the time period of 5/9/2023  
3 – 12/31/2023. Payments shall commence on 5/15/2024 in the amount of \$2,019.33 per month  
4 and shall be paid on the 15<sup>th</sup> of the month, every month going forward, until paid in full. A  
5 printout of the Dissomaster computer calculation and findings is attached and incorporated in  
6 this order.

7 2. For May to August 2023, the monthly amounts are as follows: \$730 from Mother to  
8 Father for guideline child support; \$1,437 from Father to Mother for mandatory add-ons;  
9 \$3,594 from Mother to Father for guideline spousal support; the monthly total is \$2,887  
10 payable by Mother to Father. The total amount of retroactive support for the period between  
11 May and August 2023 is \$11,548.

12  
13 For September through October 2023, the monthly amounts are as follows: \$635 from  
14 Mother to Father for guideline child support; \$1,437 from Father to Mother for mandatory  
15 add-ons; \$3,261 from Mother to Father for guideline spousal support; the monthly total is  
16 \$2,459 payable by Mother to Father. The total amount of retroactive support for the period  
17 between September and October 2023 is \$4,918.

18 For November through December 2023, the monthly amounts are as follows:  
19 \$2,308 from Mother to Father for guideline child support; \$1,437 from Father to Mother for  
20 mandatory add-ons; \$3,012 from Mother to Father for guideline spousal support; the monthly  
21 total is \$3,883 payable by Mother to Father. The total amount of retroactive support for the  
22 period between November and December 2023 is \$7,766.

23 3. The Court reserves jurisdiction over all other reimbursement requests for trial.

24 4. All other requests are denied.

25 5. Counsel for Father shall prepare the order.

26  
27 6. **Preparation of Order:** If you are directed by the court to prepare the order after hearing – \_\_\_\_\_  
28 within  
29 10 calendar days of the hearing you must either: (a) Serve the proposed order to the other party/counsel

1 for approval, and follow the procedures set forth in CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(c), or (b) If the other  
2 party did not appear or the matter was uncontested, submit the proposed order after hearing directly to the  
3 court. Failure to submit the order after hearing within 10 days may allow the other party to prepare a  
4 proposed order and submit it to the court in accordance with CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(d).

5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

# EXHIBIT A



ATTORNEY (NAME AND ADDRESS):  <b>California</b>	TELEPHONE NO:	Superior Court Of The State of California, County of COURT NAME: STREET ADDRESS: MAILING ADDRESS: BRANCH NAME:
ATTORNEY FOR: <b>Father</b>	DISSOMASTER REPORT 2023, Monthly	
		CASE NUMBER:

Input Data	Father	Mother	Guideline (2023)	Cash Flow Analysis	Father	Mother
Number of children	0	2	<b>Nets (adjusted)</b>	<b>Guideline</b>		
% time with Second Parent	30%	0%	Father	3,975	Payment (cost)/benefit	2,567 (2,553)
Filing status	MFS->	<-MFS	Mother	17,825	Net spendable income	6,862 14,937
# Federal exemptions	1*	3*	Total	21,800	% combined spendable	31.5% 68.5%
Wages + salary	0	28,584	<b>Support (Nondeductible)</b>	Total taxes	1,858	10,759
401(k) employee contrib	0	0	CS Payor	Mother	Comb. net spendable	21,800
Self-employment income	5,833	0	Presumed	(707)	<b>Proposed</b>	
Other taxable income	0	0	Basic CS	730	Payment (cost)/benefit	2,337 (2,323)
Short-term cap. gains	0	0	Add-ons	(1,437)	Net spendable income	7,044 15,163
Long-term cap. gains	0	0	Presumed Per Kid		NSI change from gdl	182 226
Other gains (and losses)	0	0	Child 1	(444)	% combined spendable	31.7% 68.3%
Ordinary dividends	0	0	Child 2	(262)	% of saving over gdl	44.5% 55.5%
Tax. interest received	0	0	SS Payor	Mother	Total taxes	1,432 10,777
Social Security received	0	0	Santa Clara	3,594	Comb. net spendable	22,207
Unemployment compensation	0	0	Total	2,887	Percent change	1.9%
Operating losses	0	0	<b>Proposed, tactic 9</b>		<b>Default Case Settings</b>	
Ca. operating loss adj.	0	0	CS Payor	Mother		
Roy, partnerships, S corp, trusts	0	0	Presumed	(804)		
Rental income	0	0	Basic CS	633		
Misc ordinary tax. inc.	0	0	Add-ons	(1,437)		
Other nontaxable income	0	0	Presumed Per Kid			
New-spouse income	0	0	Child 1	(511)		
SS paid other marriage	0	0	Child 2	(293)		
CS paid other relationship	0	0	SS Payor	Mother		
Adj. to income (ATI)	0	0	Santa Clara	3,447		
Ptr Support Pd. other P'ships	0	0	Total	2,643		
Health insurance	0	0	Savings	407		
Qual. Bus. Inc. Ded.	0	0	Total releases to Father	2		
Itemized deductions	915	3,717				
Other medical expenses	0	0				
Property tax expenses	915	915				
Ded. interest expense	0	2,802				
Charitable contribution	0	0				
Miscellaneous itemized	0	0				
State sales tax paid	0	0				
Required union dues	0	0				
Cr. for Pd. Sick and Fam. L.	0	0				
Mandatory retirement	0	0				
Hardship deduction	0*	0*				
Other gdl. adjustments	0	0				
AMT info (IRS Form 6251)	0	0				
Child support add-ons	0	2,874				
TANF, SSI and CS received	0	0				



## EXHIBIT B

ATTORNEY (NAME AND ADDRESS):  <b>California</b>	TELEPHONE NO:	Superior Court Of The State of California, County of COURT NAME: STREET ADDRESS: MAILING ADDRESS: BRANCH NAME:
ATTORNEY FOR: <b>Father</b>	DISSOMASTER REPORT 2023, Monthly	
		CASE NUMBER:

Input Data	Father	Mother	Guideline (2023)	Cash Flow Analysis	Father	Mother
Number of children	0	2	<b>Nets (adjusted)</b>	<b>Guideline</b>		
% time with Second Parent	30%	0%	Father	4,006	Payment (cost)/benefit	2,170 (2,156)
Filing status	MFS->	<-MFS	Mother	16,738	Net spendable income	6,465 14,279
# Federal exemptions	1*	3*	Total	20,744	% combined spendable	31.2% 68.8%
Wages + salary	0	26,250	<b>Support (Nondeductible)</b>		Total taxes	1,827 8,487
401(k) employee contrib	0	1,575	CS Payor	Mother	Comb. net spendable	20,743
Self-employment income	5,833	0	Presumed	(802)	<b>Proposed</b>	
Other taxable income	0	0	Basic CS	635	Payment (cost)/benefit	1,921 (1,907)
Short-term cap. gains	0	0	Add-ons	(1,437)	Net spendable income	6,628 14,476
Long-term cap. gains	0	0	Presumed Per Kid		NSI change from gdl	163 197
Other gains (and losses)	0	0	Child 1	(479)	% combined spendable	31.4% 68.6%
Ordinary dividends	0	0	Child 2	(323)	% of saving over gdl	45.4% 54.6%
Tax. interest received	0	0	SS Payor	Mother	Total taxes	1,400 8,554
Social Security received	0	0	Santa Clara	3,261	Comb. net spendable	21,104
Unemployment compensation	0	0	Total	2,459	Percent change	1.7%
Operating losses	0	0	<b>Proposed, tactic 9</b>		<b>Default Case Settings</b>	
Ca. operating loss adj.	0	0	CS Payor	Mother		
Roy, partnerships, S corp, trusts	0	0	Presumed	(905)		
Rental income	0	0	Basic CS	532		
Misc ordinary tax. inc.	0	0	Add-ons	(1,437)		
Other nontaxable income	0	0	Presumed Per Kid			
New-spouse income	0	0	Child 1	(549)		
SS paid other marriage	0	0	Child 2	(355)		
CS paid other relationship	0	0	SS Payor	Mother		
Adj. to income (ATI)	0	0	Santa Clara	3,100		
Ptr Support Pd. other P'ships	0	0	Total	2,195		
Health insurance	0	1,025	Savings	360		
Qual. Bus. Inc. Ded.	0	0	Total releases to Father	2		
Itemized deductions	915	3,717				
Other medical expenses	0	0				
Property tax expenses	915	915				
Ded. interest expense	0	2,802				
Charitable contribution	0	0				
Miscellaneous itemized	0	0				
State sales tax paid	0	0				
Required union dues	0	0				
Cr. for Pd. Sick and Fam. L.	0	0				
Mandatory retirement	0	0				
Hardship deduction	0*	0*				
Other gdl. adjustments	0	0				
AMT info (IRS Form 6251)	0	0				
Child support add-ons	0	2,874				
TANF, SSI and CS received	0	0				



# EXHIBIT C

ATTORNEY (NAME AND ADDRESS):  <b>California</b>  ATTORNEY FOR: <b>Father</b>	TELEPHONE NO:	Superior Court Of The State of California, County of COURT NAME: STREET ADDRESS: MAILING ADDRESS: BRANCH NAME:
<b>DISSOMASTER REPORT</b> 2023, Monthly		CASE NUMBER:

Input Data	Father	Mother	Guideline (2023)	Cash Flow Analysis	Father	Mother
Number of children	0	2	<b>Nets (adjusted)</b>	<b>Guideline</b>		
% time with Second Parent	50%	0%	Father	4,079	Payment (cost)/benefit	3,617 (3,603)
Filing status	MFS->	<-MFS	Mother	16,765	Net spendable income	7,962 12,882
# Federal exemptions	1*	3*	Total	20,844	% combined spendable	38.2% 61.8%
Wages + salary	0	26,250	<b>Support (Nondeductible)</b>		Total taxes	1,754 8,460
401(k) employee contrib	0	1,575	CS Payor	Mother	Comb. net spendable	20,844
Self-employment income	5,833	0	Presumed	871	<b>Proposed</b>	
Other taxable income	0	0	Basic CS	2,308	Payment (cost)/benefit	3,408 (3,370)
Short-term cap. gains	0	0	Add-ons	(1,437)	Net spendable income	8,141 13,063
Long-term cap. gains	0	0	Presumed Per Kid		NSI change from gdl	179 181
Other gains (and losses)	0	0	Child 1	150	% combined spendable	38.4% 61.6%
Ordinary dividends	0	0	Child 2	721	% of saving over gdl	49.7% 50.3%
Tax. interest received	0	0	SS Payor	Mother	Total taxes	1,327 8,526
Social Security received	0	0	Santa Clara	3,012	Comb. net spendable	21,205
Unemployment compensation	0	0	Total	3,883	Percent change	1.7%
Operating losses	0	0	<b>Proposed, tactic 9</b>		<b>Default Case Settings</b>	
Ca. operating loss adj.	0	0	CS Payor	Mother		
Roy, partnerships, S corp, trusts	0	0	Presumed	778		
Rental income	0	0	Basic CS	2,215		
Misc ordinary tax. inc.	0	0	Add-ons	(1,437)		
Other nontaxable income	0	0	Presumed Per Kid			
New-spouse income	0	0	Child 1	86		
SS paid other marriage	0	0	Child 2	692		
CS paid other relationship	0	0	SS Payor	Mother		
Adj. to income (ATI)	0	0	Santa Clara	2,857		
Ptr Support Pd. other P'ships	0	0	Total	3,635		
Health insurance	0	1,025	Savings	360		
Qual. Bus. Inc. Ded.	0	0	Total releases to Father	2		
Itemized deductions	915	3,717				
Other medical expenses	0	0				
Property tax expenses	915	915				
Ded. interest expense	0	2,802				
Charitable contribution	0	0				
Miscellaneous itemized	0	0				
State sales tax paid	0	0				
Required union dues	0	0				
Cr. for Pd. Sick and Fam. L.	0	0				
Mandatory retirement	0	0				
Hardship deduction	0*	0*				
Other gdl. adjustments	0	0				
AMT info (IRS Form 6251)	0	0				
Child support add-ons	0	2,874				
TANF, SSI and CS received	0	0				